1	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA	AN, LLP
2	Claude M. Stern (Bar No. 96737) claudestern@quinnemanuel.com	
3	Evette Pennypacker (Bar No. 203515) evettepennypacker@quinnemanuel.com	
4	Thomas R. Watson (Bar No. 227264) tomwatson@quinnemanuel.com	
5	555 Twin Dolphin Dr., 5 <sup>th</sup> floor Redwood Shores, CA 94065	
6	Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100	
7	Joshua L. Sohn (Bar No. 250105)	
8	50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111	
9	Telephone: (415) 875-6600	
	, ,	_
10	Attorneys for Defendant Fusion Garage PTE Ltd	1.
11	WINSTON & STRAWN LLP Andrew P. Bridges (Bar No.: 122761)	
12	Abridges@winston.com	
13	David S. Bloch (SBN: 184530) Dbloch@winston.com	
14	Matthew A. Scherb (Bar No. 237461) mscherb@winston.com	
	WINSTON & STRAWN LLP	
15	101 California Street, 39th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-5802	
16	Telephone: (415) 591-1000 Facsimile: (415) 591-1400	
17		
18	Attorneys for Plaintiffs TechCrunch, Inc., et al.	
19		DISTRICT COURT ICT OF CALIFORNIA
20		SCO DIVISION
	TECHCRUNCH, INC. a Delaware	CASE NO. 09-cv-5812 RS (PVT)
21	corporation, and CRUNCHPAD, INC., a Delaware corporation,	STIPULATION AND [ XXXXXXXXXXXX
22	Plaintiffs,	ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME REGARDING FUSION GARAGE'S
23	·	PRODUCTION OF REDACTED SOURCE
24	VS.	CODE
25	FUSION GARAGE PTE. LTD, a Singapore company,	
	Defendant.	
26	Defendant.	
27		
28		

WHEREAS, on October 6, 2010, the Court ordered Fusion Garage to produce several categories of documents including source code documents. (Dkt. 184) (hereinafter "Order"). The Court allowed Fusion Garage to redact the actual JooJoo source code while leaving the source code comments and metadata;

WHEREAS, the Court's Order established a deadline of October 22, 2010 for this production;

WHEREAS, the responsive source code documents comprise 10,325 discrete documents and 76,289 individual pages, virtually all of which require manual redactions for each page;

WHEREAS, Fusion Garage has been diligently redacting and processing these documents for production, but will require roughly two weeks from the present date to finish this process, given the volume of source code documents and the number of manual redactions at issue;

WHEREAS, Fusion Garage has prepared the other non-source code documents called for by the Order for production and will produce these documents by October 22, 2010, and will also respond to Plaintiffs' Interrogatory Nos. 1 and 2 by October 22, 2010;

WHEREAS, counsel for the parties have meet and conferred concerning Fusion Garage's source code redactions and the need for additional time to comply with the Court's Order;

WHEREAS, the following time modifications have been previously made in this case: time to file a responsive pleading (Dkt. No. 16); motion to set the hearing dates on Fusion Garage's motion to dismiss, to strike, and for a more definite statement ("motion to dismiss") and Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction to May, 6, 2010 (Dkt. No. 45); motion to shorten time to hear Fusion Garage's motion to compel documents to support its opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for a preliminary injunction (Dkt. No. 55); setting new hearing date on Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction and defendant's motion to dismiss (Dkt. 64); and granting extension of time regarding briefing on Plaintiffs' motion to compel (Dkt. 178);

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties stipulate and agree that Fusion Garage shall have a two-week extension, until November 5, 2010, to produce its redacted source code documents to Plaintiffs. The October 22, 2010 date for Fusion Garage to produce its non-source code

## 

1	documents and respond to Plaintiffs' Interrogatory Nos. 1 and 2 set forth in the Court's October 6,	
2	2010 Order shall remain unchanged.	
3		
4	4 IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
5	5 Dated: October 20, 2010 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &	
6	SULLIVAN, LLP	
7	7	
8	8 By /s/ Thomas R. Watson Thomas R. Watson	
9	9 Attorneys for Defendant Fusion Gar	age PTE., Ltd.
10	10	
11		
12	12 DATED: October 20, 2010 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP	
13		
14	By /s/ Matthew A. Scherb  Matthew A. Scherb	
15	15 Attorneys for Plaintiffs TechCrunch	Inc. and
16		, inc. and
17	17	
18	Pursuant to stimulation it is SO ORDERED	
19		
20	20 DATED: October 21, 2010	
21	21	
22	22 Patricia V. Frumba	u
23	HON. PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL	
24	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE J	UDGE
25	25	
26	26	
27	27	
28	28	

1	FILER'S ATTESTATION		
2	Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest that l		
3	have obtained concurrence in the filing of this document from Matthew A. Scherb, counsel for		
4	Plaintiffs.		
5	DATED: October 20, 2010		
6	DATED: October 20, 2010  By: <u>/s/ Thomas R. Watson</u> Thomas R. Watson		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			